more online @ accc-cancer.org

CANCERSCAPE Blog Series

Couldn't attend the 43rd ACCC Annual Meeting? Then you missed out on hearing about possible next steps toward ACA repeal, repair, or replace; key trends shaping the delivery and business of cancer care delivery; value-based frameworks; options around drug pricing reform—including MedPAC's proposed Drug Value Program—and much more. Catch up at: accc-cancer.org/ACCCbuzz/tag/cancerscape-2017.

Recognize Your Colleagues!

ACCC is accepting nominations for its Annual Achievement Award, Clinical Research Award, and the David King Community Clinical Scientist Award. Details for each award, as well as the nomination form can be found at accc-cancer.org/awards. To make a nomination, complete the form and email it to Betsy Spruill at: awards@accc-cancer.org or fax it to 301.770.1949 by June 28.

ACCC 2016-2017 Annual Report

PUBLICATION Achievements in education and advocacy over the past 12 months; new member programs and member demographics; recent media coverage of ACCC; and the Treasurer's Report. Download today at: accc-cancer.org/about/ pdf/annualReport-2017.pdf.

Updated! 2017 ACCC Patient PUBLICATION Assistance & Reimbursement Guide

New programs and updated links. Download the revised PDF today and link directly to applications and enrollment portals. accc-cancer.org/PatientAssistanceGuide.

Oncology Issues is published bimonthly at the Association of Community Cancer Centers, 1801 Research Boulevard, Suite 400 Rockville, MD 20850-3184. Copyright ©2017. Association of Community Cancer Centers. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without permission in writing. Editorial correspondence,changes of address, manuscripts, and letters to the editor should be addressed to: Managing Editor, Oncology Issues, 1801 Research Boulevard, Suite 400 Rockville, MD 20850-3184. Author's instructions available at accc-cancer.org.

Articles, editorials, letters to the editor, and other contributed materials represent the opinions of the authors and do not represent the opinions of the Association of Community Cancer Centers or the institution with which the author is affiliated unless the contrary is specified.

Basic rate: \$55 per year for healthcare providers, plus \$4.99 for shipping. ACCC membership dues pay for general, delegate, and chapter member subscriptions. Back issues available for \$12.50 per copy, prepaid. Bulk rates available upon request.

Send correspondence, display advertising, insertion orders, printing materials to Mal Milburn, Oncology Issues, 1801 Research Boulevard, Suite 400 Rockville, MD 20850-3184. Questions for general information may be directed to 301-984-5704.

Please send address changes to Association of Community Cancer Centers, 1801 Research Boulevard, Suite 400 Rockville, MD 20850-3184.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from Oncology Issues, ISSN#1046-3356, please access copyright.com or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC) 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users.



More on the Cost of Anti-Cancer Drugs

The National Health Interview Survey from 2011 to 2014 revealed that:

• 31.6% of adults recently diagnosed with cancer and 27.9% previously diagnosed with cancer in the last 2 years changed their prescription drug use due to financial reasons.



Stressed Out?

A recent study finds that oncologists are suffering from burnout and stress:

- 42% to 69% reported feeling stressed at work.
- Nearly a third (32%) reported high burnout or emotional exhaustion.
- Many suffer from sleep deprivation, up to 30% drink alcohol in a problematic way.

kind of psychiatric morbidity. • Up to 20% of junior oncologists use hypnotic drugs.

 More than 12% of screened positive for depression.

Source. Medisauskaite A, Kamau C. Prevalence of oncologists in distress: systematic review and meta-analysis. Psycho-Oncol. 2017. doi.org/10.1002/pon.4382.



facts



Healthcare Attitudes—A Generational Comparison

Doctor-Patient Style

Boomers: Healthy skeptics **Gen X:** Medical misanthropes

Millenials: Healthcare idealists

Communication Style

Boomers: Open and honest; agreeable when doc takes a team approach

Gen X: Guarded in conversation; may not be as open as necessary

Millenials: Open, but may overshare opinion; may challenge a doctor's diagnosis

How They Find a Doctor

Boomers: Ask a friend or family member for a recommendation

Gen X: Combination approach between asking people they know first and confirming online

Millenials: Look at online reviews and awards

Doctor-Patient Relationship

Boomers: 83% have a PCP and want doctors to decide treatment options with them

Gen X: 64% have a PCP and **1** in **4** has lost trust in a doctor or medical facility (last 2 years)

Millenials: 35% have a PCP and choose alternate care facilities, like urgent care, in large numbers

Source. http://www.vitals.com/about/posts/press-center/ press-releases/vitals-index-study-reveals-stark-generationaldifferences-comes-attitudes-health-care.

Survey Says...

- Supply chain tasks impact clinicians.
 Physicians and nurses spend, on
 average, nearly 20% of their workweek
 on supply chain and inventory
 management. If they could reallocate
 this time, more than half said that they
 would spend this time with patients, while others would focus on research
 and education or training new staff.
- Nearly 1/3 of respondents haven't implemented a new inventory management system in at least 6 years.
- 78% are manually counting inventory in some parts of their supply chain; only 17% have an automated technology system to track products and inventory in real time.

Source. The Cardinal Health Hospital Supply Chain Survey. cardinalhealth.com/en/essential-insights/the-biggest-untapped-resource-at-your-hospital--your-supply-chai.html.

Reduction in Radiation Therapy Leads to Decline of Second Cancers in Childhood Cancer Survivors

Childhood cancer survivors are living longer. New research shows they are also less likely to develop second cancers while still young. The decline followed a sharp drop in the use of radiation therapy for treatment of childhood cancers. Between the 1970s and the 1990s, the percentage of pediatric cancer patients treated with radiation fell from 77% to 33%. The average radiation dose also dropped.

Their chance of having second cancers within 15 years of the

Source. Turcotte LM, et al. Temporal trends in treatment and subsequent neoplasm risk Among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer, 1970-2015. JAMA. 2017;317(8):814-824.

first cancer fell as well.

