Oncology Issues



Oncology Issues



ISSN: 1046-3356 (Print) 2573-1777 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/uacc20

Is it Fraud or is it...?

Lee E. Mortenson

To cite this article: Lee E. Mortenson (1996) Is it Fraud or is it...?, Oncology Issues, 11:3, 4-4,

DOI: <u>10.1080/10463356.1996.11904605</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/10463356.1996.11904605

	Published online: 18 Oct 2017.
Ø.	Submit your article to this journal $oldsymbol{arGeta}$
ılıl	Article views: 1
a	View related articles ☑

Oncology Issues
The Journal of the
Association of Community Cancer Centers Senior Editor

Lec E. Mortenson, D.P.A. ACCC Executive Director

Managing Editor Donald Jewler Assistant Editor Cara Egan

Advertising Representative William J. Asmann

Art Director Tom Suzuki Designers Constance D. Dillman Hea-Ran Cho

EDITORIAL BOARD

Chairman, Carl G. Kardinal, M.D. Leonita Cutright, M.S.N. Kent Giles, M.P.P.M. Gordon R. Klatt, M.D. Michael E. Mohnsen, M.H.A. Nancy A. Nowak, M.A. Cary A. Presant, M.D., F.A.C.P. Teresa D. Smith, R.N., M.S.N. James L. Wade III, M.D. R. Lawrence White, M.D. Robert T. Woodburn, M.D., Ph.D. James Zabora, M.S.W

ACCC OFFICERS AND TRUSTEES President

John E. Feldmann, M.D. (Mobile, Ala.) President-Elect

James L. Wade III, M.D. (Decatur, Ill.) Secretary

Gordon R. Klatt, M.D. (Tacoma, Wash.)

Treasurer David H. Regan, M.D. (Portland, Oreg.) Immediate Past-President Diane Van Ostenberg, B.S., R.N. (Grand Rapids, Mich.)

Trustees

Edward L. Braud, M.D. (Springfield, Ill.) Robert J. Brooks, M.D. (Tucson, Ariz.) Susan J. Brown, R.N., M.S.N., C.R.N.P., A.O.C.N. (Upland, Pa.)

Dale E. Fuller, M.D. (Dallas, Tex.) Gordon R. Klatt, M.D. (Tacoma, Wash.) Charles H. Nash III, M.D. (Tulsa, Okla.) Nancy A. Nowak, M.A. (Memphis, Tenn.) Diane M. Otte, R.N., M.S. (Omaha, Nebr.) Teresa Smith, R.N., M.S.N. (Springfield, Ill.) Joyce G. Stair, M.S., R.N. (Ann Arbor, Mich.) R. Lawrence White, M.D. (Washington, D.C.)

Member of Business Publications Audit, Inc.



Oncology Issues is published bi-monthly at the Association of Community Cancer Centers, Executive Office, 11600 Nebel St., Suite 201, Rockville, MD 20852. Copyright ©1996. Association of Community Cancer Centers. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without permission in writing. Editorial correspondence, changes of address, manuscripts, and letters to the editor should be addressed to: Lee E. Mortenson, Senior Editor, Oncology Issues, 11600 Nebel St., Suite 201, Rockville, MD 20852.

Articles, editorials, letters to the editor, and other contributed materials represent the opinions of the authors and do not represent the opinions of the Association of Community Cancer Centers or the institution with which the author is affiliated unless the contrary is specified.

Subscription Rates

Basic rate: \$20 per year for health care providers; \$40 per year for others. ACCC membership dues pay for general, delegate, and chapter member subscriptions. Back issues available for \$5 per copy, prepaid. Bulk rates with the proposed of the property of the proposed of the property of available upon request.

Advertising
Send correspondence, display advertising, insertion orders, printing materials to Managing Editor, Oncology Issues, 11600 Nebel St., Suite 201, Rockville, MD 20852. uestions for general information may be directed to 301/984-9496.

Postage Privileges
Postmaster: Please send address changes to Association of Community Cancer Centers, 11600 Nebel St., Suite 201, Rockville, MD 20852.

FROM THE EDITOR



Is it Fraud or is it...?

by Lee E. Mortenson, D.P.A.

t our recent annual meeting, ACCC President John E. Feldmann, M.D., described to me a recent problem that is hurting his practice in Mobile, Ala. When his office submitted bills to Medicare for ongoing treatment of a number of current patients, reimbursement was denied because the patients had joined the new Medicare HMO in

The office staff had no indication that the status of these patients had changed—each time the patients entered the office, they presented their original Medicare cards. Office staff learned that many of these patients had been under the impression that the new plan was a Medicare supplement and that nothing else would change. Dr. Feldmann's staff could not determine whether patients were given that impression or had simply misunderstood the sales pitch.

Checking around, Dr. Feldmann discovered that several surgeons have lost fees through this same process. Of course, there is no easy way to uncover this problem once the patient has been seen. The HMOs do not confiscate patients' Medicare cards when they join the new HMO, and the practices are in no position to investigate the status of patients every time they enter the office. Obviously the HMO has nothing to lose by this untoward event, since this is a windfall for these organizations.

In the meantime, of course, the office is out more than \$12,000 in drugs and services; patients are suddenly being transferred from the care of physicians they thought were assured; and the office staff is left to contemplate a whole new

series of procedures in an attempt to stem the outflow of money.

There are a couple of issues here for all of us to check on. Does this confusion happen whenever a Medicare HMO sets up business in a town? If the problem is happening in more than one place, we should talk to the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) about the marketing approach of its Medicare HMO contractors. If only one contractor is involved—and involved frequently—is this practice attempted fraud or just a chronic mistake?

Of course there are several possible solutions, including the temporary replacement of Medicare cards by the HMO with its own cards or supplying HMO members with an inexpensive plastic wallet to carry both cards. Or, the carriers could be held accountable for charges by patients who did not inform physicians of their change

We aren't trying to penalize the patient here. HCFA should be requiring the HMO contractor to provide the patient with sufficient information so that physicians are not scammed. Perhaps more directly, HCFA might hold contractors responsible for the costs of sloppy marketing and insist they pay their

There is no reason that good marketing cannot be combined with good business. If you cheat the customer and cheat the supplier, both will be reluctant to extend you credit or credence. I believe that HCFA staff are likely to expect their contractors to be good partners. I'd be happy to hear about your experiences, so that we can pass them along.