

Adjuvant Therapy for Melanoma and Practical Considerations for Immunotherapy

Sanjiv S. Agarwala, MD Professor and Chief, Oncology & Hematology St. Luke's Cancer Center and Temple University Bethlehem, Pennsylvania





Adjuvant Therapy for Melanoma



AN INSTITUTE OF ACCC

Distribution of Melanoma Burden by Stage



The burden of high-risk disease dwarfs that of advanced melanoma and is an important clinical problem.

Adjuvant Therapy

- The Old
 - Interferon
- The New
 - Ipilimumab
- The Future



Adjuvant IFN-α Regimens

Schedule	e Dose	Frequency	Duration
Low Dose			
	3 MIU	3 x weekly	18 – 24 months
Intermediate Dose			
Induction	10 MIU	5 x weekly	4 weeks
Maintenance	10 MIU	3 x weekly	12 -24 months
	5 MIU	3 x weekly	24 months
High Dose			
Induction	20 MIU/m ²	5 x weekly	4 weeks
Maintenance	10 MIU/m ²	3 x weekly	11 months
Short Course			
Induction X 1	20 MIU/m ²	5 x weekly	4 weeks
Intermittent			
Induction X 3	20 MIU/m ²	20 MIU/m ²	5 x weekly for 4 weeks Q 4 months



OF

Interferon Trials Leading To Regulatory Approval

		Median Follow	Impact on				
Study/PI	Stage	N	Treatment agent/ dosage/duration	up (yr)	RFS	OS	Comment
				6.9	0.61; p=.001	0.67; p=.01	LMN staging . Recurrent nodes 64%. Greatest
E1684	T4, N+	287	10 MU/m2 SC TIW for 11 months vs. Observation	12.6	0.72; p=.02	0.82; p=.18	benefit microscopic nodal disease. Competing causes of death at 12.6yrs FU.
			IFNa2b 20 MU/m2/D IV for 1 month. Then,	4.3	0.78; p=.05	1.0	51% nodal recurrent. Cross over
E1690	T4, N+ 642	10 MU/m2 SC TIW for 11 months vs. 3 MU/D given SC TIW for 2 years vs. Observation	6.6	0.81; p=0.09	1.0	of obs pts to HDI at relapse (n=38 pts). 17 pts in obs arm received HDI for nodal relapse.	
E1694	T4 N+	880	IFNα2b 20 MU/m2/D IV for 1 month. Then 10 MU/m2 SC TIW for 11 months vs.	1.3	0.67; p=.0004	0.72; p=.023	Early closure for vaccine futility at 2 yrs.
	17,111	000	GMK vaccine for 96 wks	2.1	0.75; p=.006	0.76; p=.04	Benefit greatest in node -ve.
EORTC 18991	PegIFN weeks)	PegIFNα2b given SC at 6 μg/kg/week (8 weeks) then 3 μg/kg/week (5 years) vs.	3.8	0.82; P=.011	0.98	Impact on RFS, DMFS and OS in ulcerated tumour & 1	
N1-2 1256 c		Observation 7.6		0.87; P=0.055	.96	not ulcerated.	

ASCO 2016



E1684: Updated Efficacy (ITT at 12.6 yr Median Follow-up)





Tweaking Interferon

- Lower the dose
- Shorten the duration of HDI high dose IV only
- Use pegylated IFN once weekly dosing, lower dose with comparable AUC



Study design: ECOG 1697

Patients with intermediate-

and high-risk melanoma

Defined as T3: Breslow thickness >1.5 mm (AJCC 6th ed) >2.0 mm (AJCC 7th ed)

or

Any thickness with microscopically positive nodal disease (N1a–N2a)



Agarwala SS, et al. JCO. January 2017





Relapse-free survival (n=975)



Agarwala SS, et al. JCO. January 2017



Adjuvant Therapy

- The Old
 - Interferon
- The New
 - Ipilimumab
- The Future



Ipilimumab (HD) vs Placebo EORTC 18071/CA184-029: Study Design



Stratification factors:

- Stage (IIIA vs IIIB vs IIIC 1-3 positive lymph nodes vs IIIC ≥4 positive lymph nodes)
- Regions (North America, European countries and Australia)

Eggermont, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2015;16:522-30.

withdrawal



Primary Endpoint: Recurrence-free Survival (IRC)







EORTC 18071: Overall Survival



CI = confidence interval; NR = not reached.

Eggermont AMM et al NEJM 2016



Safety Summary

	lpilim (n =	umab 471)	Placebo (n = 474)		
	Any Grade	Grade 3/4	Any grade	Grade 3/4	
Any AE, %	98.7	54.1	91.1	26.2	
Treatment-related AE, %	94.1	45.4	59.9	4.0	
Treatment-related AE discontinuation, %	48.0	32.9	1.5	0.6	
Any immune-related AE, %	90.4	41.6	39.7	2.7	

• No new deaths due to drug-related AEs compared with the primary analysis

- o 5 patients (1.1%) in the ipilimumab group
 - 3 patients with colitis (2 with gastrointestinal perforations)
 - 1 patient with myocarditis
 - 1 patient had multiorgan failure with Guillain-Barré syndrome
- o No deaths related to study drug in the placebo group



15

E1609 Phase III Ipilimumab vs IFN

Patients with resectable stage IIIB or IIIC or IV (M1a or M1b)

N=1500 +

Ipilimumab 10mg/kg
 Ipilimumab 3mg/kg
 High dose interferon

Primary Endpoint: RFS, OS Secondary Endpoints: Safety, Quality of life, immunologic correlates of RFS, OS Completed accrual: 8/2014- Results anticipated: 2018

Α

Ν

D

O M

Ζ

Clinicaltrials.gov



Adjuvant Therapy

- The Old
 - Interferon
- The New
 - Ipilimumab
- The Future



PD1 Pathway Inhibitor Trials

Study	N	TNM Stage	Therapy	Dose and Schedule – Treatment Arm	Primary Endpoint
CheckMate 238*	800	IIIB, IIIC, IV	Nivolumab Vs. Ipilimumab	<u>Nivo</u> 3 mg/kg IV v Ipilimumab 10 mg/kg	RFS
KEYNOTE-054	900	IIIA [> 1 mm met], IIIB, IIIC	Pembrolizumab Vs. Placebo	Pembrolizumab 200 mg IV on q 3 w33kw for up to 1 year	RFS, RFS in PDL1+
S1404	1378	IIIA(N2) IIIB, C, IV	Pembrolizumab Vs. HD IFN or HD <u>lpi</u>	<u>Pembro 200 mg IV Q3 wks x 1 yr vs</u> HD IFN regimen or <u>ipi</u> 10 mg/kg	RFS, OS in all and PDL1+

* Completed accrual 10/15





EORTC 1325/ KEYNOTE 054

Is adjuvant therapy more effective than treatment in the metastatic setting?





Ongoing Adjuvant Trials Using MAP-K targeted Therapy

Study	No of Pts	TNM Stage	Therapy	Dose and Schedule – Treatment Arm	Primary Endpoint
COMBI-AD	852	III (BRAF V600E/K)	Dabrafenib + Trametinib Vs. Placebo	Dabrafenib (150 mg twice daily) and trametinib (2 mg once daily) orally for 12 months	RFS
BRIM 8	725	IIC, III (BRAF V600; Cobas)	Vemurafenib Vs. Placebo	Vemurafenib 960 mg orally twice daily for 52 weeks	RFS





Adjuvant Therapy Summary

- IFN is still a standard option for many patients.
- Ipilimumab (high dose) is also an option but no data comparing it to IFN High toxicity (is it justifiable in the adjuvant setting?
- Should we await data for adjuvant anti PD-1?
- BRAF targeted adjuvant therapy for BRAF+ patients?



Practical Considerations for Immunotherapy



AN INSTITUTE OF ACCC

Unique Practical Aspects of Immunotherapy

- Response Assessment
 - Unique response patterns
 - Timing of imaging
- Toxicity Recognition and Management



23

Immune-Related Patterns of Response with anti-CTLA4:

Melanoma Response After the Appearance and Subsequent Disappearance of New Lesions



Ipilimumab Heterogeneous Response Patterns



Wolchok JD, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2009;15:7412-7420.



Response Assessment: RECIST vs. irRC

Category	RECIST v1.1 ¹	mWHO ²	irRC ³
Measurement: tumor burden	Unidimensional: Sum Longest Diameter	Bidimensional: Sum Product Diameter (SPD)	Bidimensional: SPD
Complete Response (CR)	 Disappearance of all target and non-targe Confirmation required: two consecutive or 	lesions servations no less than 4 weeks apart	
Partial Response (PR)	 ≥ 30% ↓ in tumor burden compared to baseline Confirmation required 	 ≥ 50% ↓ in tumor burden compared to baseline Confirmation required 	 ≥ 50% ↓ in tumor burden compared to baseline[†] Confirmation required
Progressive Disease (PD)	 ≥ 20% + 5 mm absolute ↑ in tumor burden compared to nadir New lesion No confirmation required 	 ≥ 25% ↑ in tumor burden compared to nadir New lesion No confirmation required 	 ≥ 25% ↑ in tumor burden compared to baseline, nadir, or reset baseline[†] New lesions added to tumor burden Confirmation required
Stable Disease	Neither PR nor PD		

- 1. Eisenhauer EA, et al. *Eur J Cancer*. 2009;45(2):228-247.
- 2. Miller AB, et al. *Cancer*. 1981;47:207-14.
- 3. Wolchok JD, et al. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15(23):7412-20.



Association of Overall Survival With Tumor Response



• Of the 196 patients with PD by RECIST v1.1, the 51 patients (26%) with non-PD by irRC had favorable OS compared with the 145 patients with PD by both criteria.

• A landmark analysis showed similar results.



Immune Checkpoint Blockade Key Points About Evaluating Activity

- Antitumor activity may appear to be delayed compared to response times associated with cytotoxic therapies; **imaging every 12 weeks.**
- Patients may experience response after the appearance of progressive disease.
- Development of progressive disease should be confirmed prior to discontinuation of therapy.
- Development of small lesions in the presence of other responsive lesions may be clinically insignificant.
- Durable stable disease may be indicative of response.

Agarwala SS. Semin Oncol. 2015.

accc-iclio.org

ICLIO AN INSTITUTE OF

Unique Practical Aspects of Immunotherapy

- Response Assessment
 - Unique response patterns
 - Timing of imaging
- Toxicity Recognition and Management



Select Immune-related Adverse Reactions

hypophysitis thyroiditis adrenal insufficiency enterocolitis dermatitis



pneumonitis hepatitis pancreatitis motor & sensory neuropathies arthritis

Ipilimumab adverse reaction management guide.

Timing of Immune-related AEs



Adapted from: Weber, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2012; 30:2691-2697; Weber, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2015.







Weber JS, et al. ASCO. 2015.



Management of irAEs Overview

- Responsibility of all healthcare providers
- Early reporting by patients with close monitoring, and early intervention by health care providers
- Provide thorough and continuous patient education about the signs and symptoms of irAEs
- Assess for signs and symptoms of irAEs before each cycle of immunotherapy
- Know management algorithm specific to each irAE
 - Safety profiles of immunosuppressants
- Monitor and manage toxicities of immunosuppressants
 - Hyperglycemia and diabetes
 - Opportunistic infection



Immunotherapy-Associated Dermatitis

Back:

Confluent red rash

Right upper arm:

Vacuolar changes (magnification x20)



Back:

Papular lesions (Close up)

Anti-CD8 staining:

Extensive epidermal exocytosis (magnification x20)

Jaber SH, et al. Arch Dermatol. 2006.





Colitis and Enteritis

- Colonoscopy
 - Multifocal circumscribed erythematous lesions
- Histopathology
 - Predominantly chronic inflammation
 - Eosinophils and focal active cryptitis





Management of Gastrointestinal AEs

Grade	No Colostomy	Colostomy	
1	Increase of <4 stools per day (over baseline)	Mild increase in ostomy output (over baseline)	Increase oral fluids Hold immunotherapy
2	Increase of 4 – 6 stools per day	Moderate increase in output	 As G2 plus: Admit, IV hydration Steroids 1–2mg/kg per day prednisolone (or equiv) If no improvement in 2–3d: add infliximab 5mg/kg
3	Increase of >7 stools per day Incontinence Admission indicated	Severe increase in output – Limiting self care ADL	 (NB. Infliximab contraindicated with sepsis or perforat Sigmoidoscopy and biopsy When G1, taper steroids over minimum 1m (Up to 3ms for severe cases) Infliximab may be re-administered at 2 and 6weeks As G3 pus:
4	Life-threatening Urgent intervention indicated	Life-threatening – Urgent intervention indicated	 Permanently discontinue immunotherapy Involve gastroenterologist Involve surgical team
		CTCAE v4 0	Spain, et al. <i>Cancer Treat Rev.</i> (2016):44



Pulmonary Toxicities

• **Pneumonitis** NSCLC (5-8%) > melanoma (2%)

Median time to onset 2.1 months

Median time to resolution 1.4 months

• Cough, dyspnoea, 'LRTI'







Management of Pneumonitis

Grade	
1: Asymptomatic	 Hold immunotherapy Steroids (e.g. prednisone 1mg/kg/day or equivalent) Re-assess 3 weeks: continue treatment if completely resolved
2: Symptomatic, limiting ADLs	 As G1 plus: Consider admission Prednisone 1–2mg/kg/day PO or equivalent Empiric antibiotics if suspicious for concurrent infection Re-assess every 1–3 days If improving taper steroids, continue treatment if symptoms resolve

CTCAE v4.0

Spain, et al. *Cancer Treat Rev.* (2016): 44, 51-60 Adapted from London Cancer Alliance Acute Oncology clinical guidelines, April 2016



Management of Pneumonitis

Grade	
3: Symptomatic, limiting self-care ADLs	 Discontinue immunotherapy permanently Hospitalize High dose steroids (methylprednisolone 1g/day IV) Prophylactic antibiotics Consider bronchoscopy with biopsy Re-assess daily If not improving after 48h or worsening, consider infliximab, mycophenylate, or immunoglobulins If improving, taper steroids
4: Life threatening	As G3 plus: • Intensive care input

CTCAE v4.0

Spain, et al. *Cancer Treat Rev.* (2016): 44, 51-60 Adapted from London Cancer Alliance Acute Oncology clinical guidelines, April 2016





Hepatotoxicity

- Mainly asymptomatic AST and/or ALT rise
- Occasionally: pyrexia, bilirubin elevation

Initial approach

- Exclude new / progressive liver metastases
- Review medications and alcohol intake

Adapted from London Cancer Alliance Acute Oncology clinical guidelines, April 2016





Management Hepatotoxicity

Grade	Definition	 Continue immunotherapy Investigations as listed 	
1	AST/ALT ≤3 x ULN BR ≤1.5 x ULN	 Hold immunotherapy Prednisolone 1–2mg/kg/day or IV equivalent Or If patient is well, re-check liver function every 2 days and initiate 	
2	AST/ALT = 3-5 x ULN BR = x1.5-3 ULN	 Steroids if no improvement or worsening. Taper steroids over 4 weeks once G1 or baseline As G2 plus: Prednisolone 1–2mg/kg/day or IV equivalent 	
3	AST/ALT = 5-20 x ULN BR = 3-10 x ULN	 Consider permanent discontinuation of immunotherapy As G3 pus: Hepatology review Permanently discontinue immunotherapy Consider mycophonylate 	
4	AST/ALT >20 ULN BR > 10 x ULN	Spain, et al. <i>Cancer Treat Rev.</i> (20 CTCAE v4.0 Adapted from London Cancer Alliance Acute Oncology clinical guidelin	 16): 44, 51-60 es, April 2016



Endocrine Disorders: Pituitary and Thyroid

- Incidence: Commoner with anti-CTLA-4 (4%) than anti-PD1 (<1%)
- Symptoms: Fatigue, headache, visual, arthralgia, behaviour
 Often vague and non-specific
- Investigations
 ↓ ACTH ↓ TSH ↓ GH
 ↓ FSH ↓ LH ↓ PRL
- Grading: None!





Hypophysitis - Imaging





Management of Hypophysitis

1 Hold immunotherapy

2 Endocrinology input

③ Acute phase: corticosteroids (≈MP 1-2mg/kg/day) may limit hypophysitis

④ Hormone replacement (thyroxine, hydrocortisone) as needed

(5) Immunotherapy may be re-started after corticosteroid taper

Spain, et al. *Cancer Treat Rev.* (2016): 44, 51-60 Adapted from London Cancer Alliance Acute Oncology clinical guidelines, April 2016





Less Common Toxicities of Immunotherapy

Ocular (<1%)

• Uveitis, episcleritis, conjunctivitis (anti-CTLA4)

Neurological

- Guillaine-Barre syndrome, myaesthenia gravis, PRES

Cardiac

- Myocardititis, heart failure





Management of irAEs

- Describe signs and symptoms, including complications if not treated promptly
- Emphasize early recognition and prompt reporting
- Discuss preventative measures, if applicable
- Instruct patient to present agent-specific wallet card to all healthcare providers
- Stress adherence with corticosteroid therapy
- Provide supportive care instructions
- Enforce early reporting of worsening condition

Fecher LA, Agarwala SS, et al. Oncologist. 2013.





Patient and Caregiver Education

- Whom to call
- Why to call
- When to call
- Where to call (MUST HAVE 24/7 clinician availability)

Fecher LA, Agarwala SS, et al. Oncologist. 2013.



The Immuno-Oncology Framework



Practical Considerations: Summary

- Immunotherapy requires a team approach
 - Physician, nurse, patient, family
- Unique response patterns may occur
 - Allow time for treatment to work
 - Pseudoprogression
- Toxicity recognition and management is unique
 - Patient education
 - Steroids as needed
 - Follow guidelines



49

Questions?



AN INSTITUTE OF ACCC Thank you for participating in the ICLIO Webinar. Presentation slides and archived recording will be available at accc-iclio.org.



INSTITUTE FOR CLINICAL IMMUNO-ONCOLOGY

