BRCA Testing Concordance with National Guidelines for Patients with Breast Cancer in Community Cancer Programs

Leigh Boehmer,¹ Latha Shivakumar¹, Christine B. Weldon², Julia Rachel Trosman³, Stephanie A. Cohen⁴, Dawn Nixon⁴, Zohra Ali-Khan Catts⁵, Susan Miesfeldt⁶, Stephanie Wiryaman⁷, Lorraine Tafra⁸, Lisa Muto⁹, Natalie Fadrowski¹⁰, Melissa Hulvat¹¹, Tiana Pallister¹¹, Charles Hendrix Shelton¹²

¹Association of Community Cancer Centers, Rockville, MD; ²Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL; ³Center for Business Models in Healthcare, Chicago, IL; ⁴St. Vincent Hospital, Indianapolis, IN; ⁵Christiana Care Health System, Newark, DE; ⁶Maine Medical Ctr Cancer Inst, Scarborough, ME; ⁷Maine Medical Center, Portland, ME; ⁸Anne Arundel Medical Ctr, Annapolis, MD; ⁹Cabell Huntington Hospital, Huntington, WV; ¹⁰University of Maryland Upper Chesapeake Health, Bel Air, MD; ¹¹Kalispell Regional Medical Center, Kalispell, MT; ¹²Vidant Health, Nags Head, NC

BACKGROUND

- Current National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines state that testing for highly penetrant breast/ovarian cancer genes is clinically indicated for women diagnosed with early onset (\leq 45 years), at later age (having met ethnic or family history criteria), or metastatic HER-2 negative breast cancer.
- A recent Association of Community Cancer Centers (ACCC) survey (N = 95) showed that > 80% of respondents reported \leq 50% testing rates among patients with breast cancer who met testing guidelines.
- Given this disconnect, ACCC partnered with 15 community cancer programs to assess practice gaps and support interventions to improve genetic counseling (GC)/testing access.

OBJECTIVE

To increase rates of guideline-concordant BRCA testing for patients with breast cancer in community cancer programs through quality improvement initiatives.

METHODS

- Pre-intervention data from 9/15 participating cancer programs for 2691 women diagnosed with stages 0-III breast cancer between 01/01/2017 and 06/30/2019 was collected.
- De-identified variables included: family history documentation, • GC appointment/test results, and timing of results relative to surgical treatment decisions.

RESULTS

- Forty-eight percent (1284/2691) had a documented high-risk family history, 57% (729/1284) of whom had a GC appointment.
- As expected, this was a significantly higher rate of GC compared to the 23% (181/778) of those with a negative family history and 6% (35/629) of those with no family history documented (p < 0.0001).

This project is supported by a grant from Pfizer, Inc.



CONCLUSIONS

- Genetic testing is underutilized in this community cohort of women with breast cancer.
- Fifty-seven percent of cases with a documented high-risk family history underwent genetic counselling; 23% of those with a negative family history had GC.
- Thirty-seven percent of women offered preoperative test results had breast conserving surgery compared to 60% of women with test results disclosed post-operatively.
- Further work is needed to understand decisions regarding genetic testing and the timing of said testing on surgical decision making.
- Opportunities exist to examine facilitators and barriers to community-based genetic services in order to increase access to guideline-based GC/ testing.

AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

Leigh Boehmer, PharmD, BCOP, Medical Director Association of Community Cancer Centers www.accc-cancer.org

> For more information on this project and to download this poster: www.accc-cancer.org/BRCA

Copies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from ASCO® and the author of this poster.



Association of Community Cancer Centers

n of total popula

High-risk based

Family high-risl

Family high-risk

Not family high-

Not family high-

Unknown famil

Unknown famil

Age 45 or youn Had GC appoir Date of genetic Genetic test rea

HER-2 negative

HER-2 negative HER-2 negative

Patients ≤ 45 years old attended a GC appointment 72% (199/278) of the time and 49% (135/278) had genetic test results, with 84% (113/135) receiving results before surgery.

Type of Surge

Genetic test r Genetic test r

For women with test results available before surgery, 37% (119/322) had breast conserving surgery, compared to 60% (144/240) with test results disclosed post-operatively (p < 0.0001).

RESULTS - Continued

lation = 2691	Rate	#	n
d on family history	48%	1284	2691
	570/	700	4004
sk with GC appointment	57%	729	1284
sk, no GC appointment	43%	555	1284
	+0 /0		1207
n-risk with GC appointment	23%	181	778
n-risk, no GC appointment	77%	597	778
	C 0/	25	<u> </u>
ly risk, with GC appointment	6%	35	629
ly risk, no GC appointment	94%	594	629
ly lisk, no oo appointment			023
nger (n=278)			
ntment	72%	199	278
c test results documented	49%	135	278
esults arrived after surgery	16%	22	135
/e (n=1845)	69%	1845	2691
ve patients with GC appointment	36%	658	1845
ve patients with genetic test result	15%	273	1845

erv	Mastectomy	Lumpectomy	
ery results before surgery results available after surgery	63% (203/322) 40%	37% (119/322) 60%	
esuits available alter surgery	(96/240)	(144/240)	

Contact Leigh Boehmer at Iboehmer@accc-cancer.org